

## UNIT IV GREEDY TECHNIQUE

**Greedy Technique** – Minimum Spanning Tree –  
Prim's Algorithm – Kruskal's Algorithm – Single-  
source-shortest-paths Problem – Dijkstra's Algorithm  
– Huffman Coding – Fractional Knapsack Problem



## Greedy Strategy

- ❑ Algorithms for optimization problems typically go through a sequence of steps, with a set of choices at each step.
- ❑ For many optimization problems, using dynamic programming to determine the best choices is overkill; simpler, more efficient algorithms will do.
- ❑ A greedy algorithm always makes the choice that looks best at the moment. That is, it makes a locally optimal choice in the hope that this choice will lead to a globally optimal solution.



# Greedy Technique

Constructs a solution to an *optimization problem* piece by piece through a sequence of choices that are:

- *feasible*, i.e. satisfying the constraints
- *locally optimal* (with respect to some neighborhood definition)
- *greedy* (in terms of some measure), and irrevocable

Defined by an objective function and a set of constraints

For some problems, it yields a globally optimal solution for every instance. For most, does not but can be useful for fast approximations.



# Greedy Technique

- **feasible**, i.e., it has to satisfy the problem's constraints
- **locally optimal**, i.e., it has to be the best local choice among all feasible choices available on that step
- **irrevocable**, i.e., once made, it cannot be changed on subsequent steps of the algorithm
- These requirements explain the technique's name: on each step, it suggests a "greedy" grab of the best alternative available in the hope that a sequence of locally optimal choices will yield a (globally) optimal solution to the entire problem.



## Change-Making Problem

Given unlimited amounts of coins of denominations  $d_1 > d_2 > d_3 > \dots > d_m$

give change for amount  $n$  with the least number of coins

**For example,**

the widely used coin denominations in the United States are

$d_1 = 25$  (quarter),  $d_2 = 10$  (dime),  $d_3 = 5$  (nickel), and  $d_4 = 1$  (penny).

**How would you give change with coins of these denominations of,**

**say, 48 cents?**

$d_1 = 25$ ,  $d_2 = 10$ ,  $d_3 = 5$ ,  $d_4 = 1$  and  $n = 48$

**Greedy solution:  $\langle 1, 2, 0, 3 \rangle = 5$  Coins**



## Change-Making Problem

**Greedy solution is**

- **optimal for any amount and “normal” set of denominations**
- **may not be optimal for arbitrary or imaginary coin denominations**

**Example: Prove the greedy algorithm is optimal for the above denominations.**

For example,  $d1 = 25$ ,  $d2 = 10$ ,  $d3 = 1$ , and  $n = 30$  [Arbitrary denominations]

Greedy solution:  $\langle 1, 0, 0, 4 \rangle = 5$  Coins

Optimal solution:  $\langle 0, 3, 0, 0 \rangle = 3$  Coins

For example,  $d1 = 25$ ,  $d2 = 10$ ,  $d3 = 5$ ,  $d4 = 1$  and  $n = 30$  [Normal denominations]

Greedy solution:  $\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle = 2$  Coins

Optimal solution:  $\langle 1, 0, 1, 0 \rangle = 2$  Coins

**Change-making problem for which the greedy algorithm does not yield an optimal solution.**

**Example:**

For the coin denominations  $d_1 = 7$ ,  $d_2 = 5$ ,  $d_3 = 1$  and the amount  $n = 10$ .

**Greedy solution:  $\langle 1, 0, 3 \rangle = 4$  coins**

**Optimal solution:  $\langle 0, 2, 0 \rangle = 2$  coins**

The greedy algorithm yields one coin of denomination 7 and three coins of denomination 1. The actual optimal solution is two coins of denomination 5.



# Applications of the Greedy Strategy

## □ **Optimal solutions:**

- change making for “normal” coin denominations
- minimum spanning tree (MST)
- single-source shortest paths
- simple scheduling problems
- Huffman codes

## □ **Approximations/heuristics:**

- traveling salesman problem (TSP)
- knapsack problem
- other combinatorial optimization problems





Thank You